UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.usplo.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
09/652,697	08/31/2000	Duncan M. Kitchin	ITL.0406US (P8989)	3821
21906 7590 09/06/2007 TROP PRUNER & HU, PC 1616 S. VOSS ROAD, SUITE 750			EXAMINER	
			NGUYEN, LEE	
HOUSTON, T	TX 77057-2631		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
		•	2618	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			09/06/2007	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)		
09/652,697	KITCHIN, DUNCAN M.	CHIN, DUNCAN M.	
Examiner	Art Unit		
LEE NGUYEN	2618		

--The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address -
THE REPLY FILED 21 August 2007 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE.

1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on the same day as filing a Notice of Appeal. To avoid abandonment of this application, applicant must timely file one of the following replies: (1) an amendment, affidavit, or other evidence, which places the application in condition for allowance: (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CER 41 31; or

places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee) in compliance with 37 CFR 41.31; or (3) a Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114. The reply must be filed within one of the following time periods:

a) The period for reply expires _____months from the mailing date of the final rejection.

b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection.

Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or (b), ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN

TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).

Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

NOTICE OF APPEAL

 The Notice of Appeal was filed of 	on A brief in compliance with 37 CFR 41.37 must be filed within two months of the date of
filing the Notice of Appeal (37 C	FR 41.37(a)), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal. Since
a Notice of Appeal has been file	d, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 CFR 41.37(a).

	anou	ice of Appear has been filed, any reply must be filed within the time period set forth in 37 OFA 41.37(a).
<u>AMEI</u>	NDME	<u>NTS</u>
з. 🔲	The	proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will not be entered because
	(a)	They raise new issues that would require further consideration and/or search (see NOTE below);
		They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE below);
	(c) 🗌	They are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for
		appeal; and/or

(d) They present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.

	NOTE:	(See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)).	
4.	The amendments a	e not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121. See attached Notice of Non-Compliant Amendment (PTOL-	-324).

5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s): _____.
6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) _____ would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the

non-allowable claim(s).

7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) will not be entered, or b) will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.

how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:

Claim(s) allowed:

Claim(s) objected to: _____.

Claim(s) rejected: ____.

Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration: _____.

AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE

8. 🗆	The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but before or on the date of filing a Notice of Appeal will <u>not</u> be entered
	because applicant failed to provide a showing of good and sufficient reasons why the affidavit or other evidence is necessary and
	was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e).

- 9. The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing a Notice of Appeal, but prior to the date of filing a brief, will <u>not</u> be entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to overcome <u>all</u> rejections under appeal and/or appellant fails to provide a showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary and was not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 41.33(d)(1).
- 10. The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanation of the status of the claims after entry is below or attached.

REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER

- 11. The request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:

 See Continuation Sheet.
- 12. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s). (PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s).

13. Other: _____.

LEE NGUYEN
Primary Examiner
Art Unit: 2618

Continuation of 11. does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because: Regarding the remarks, Applicant repeats the argument that Mansfield fails to teach determining the length of an intended transmission and using the length to predict the time when the effect of a local noise would be redeuced for sufficient time for the intended transmission. In response, the examiner believes that this remarks was clearly responded in the final rejection.

PRIMARY EXAMINER